

CENTRE FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION, IIT MADRAS

PROFORMA FOR PARTICIPANT'S EVALUATION FORM – QIP STTP (ANONYMUS)

[See instructions given below, before filling up this form and return it to your Course Coordinator, who will in turn send the same to Chairman, CCE, IIT, Madras.]

Your FEEDBACK is our major source of information for evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of a program and thereby making improvements in future. So, kindly take a little time and fill up this form carefully. Be fair and critical, but also understand the limitations imposed by budget restrictions.

Name of the Program

Duration & Dates.....

Host Institution and address.....

1. Did you have sufficient information about the following before you arrived in the Institution? (tick appropriate one)

i) Objectives of the Program : Yes/No

ii) Academic level and content of the Program : Yes/No

iii) Type of accommodation and boarding : Yes/No

2. If your answer to one or more of the above is NO, would you still have attended the course if the actual details were known earlier? : Yes/No

3. Was the subject matter of the program of any direct relevance to your present or future teaching work / research? : Yes/No

4. Was the subject matter presentation most effective and interesting through :
(tick one or more, if you feel satisfied with the program)

Lectures Laboratory Experiment Seminars

Group Discussions Tutorials Field Trips

5. What topics or programs could have been added or deleted to increase the value of the program?

Additions: a)
 b)
 c)

Deletions: a)
 b)
 c)

6. Please rate the following aspects of the program (tick one)

| | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| • Faculty expertise and their commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Instructional methods | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Library, Class room, Laboratory and other facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Adequacy and timely distribution of handouts and books | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Boarding and lodging arrangements | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • General administration of the program | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

7. What, in your opinion, was the major highlight or strength of this program which contributed most to its success / effectiveness?

- Course content and the manner it was covered
- Laboratory and other demonstrations
- Industrial / Field visits
- Others (please specify)

8. What, if any, was the major weakness that detracted from the values of the program? (Write 1,2,3 in the boxes in order of importance)

- Inadequate course content
- Lack of expertise of teachers and poor quality of teaching
- Lack of laboratory demonstrations / field visits
- Inadequate / Unsatisfactory general arrangement
- Others (please specify)

9. Considering the overall program and its conduct, would you rate the program as- (tick only one)

- Very Good Good Satisfactory Poor

10. Give TWO SUGGESTIONS by which you think the usefulness of the Program can be improved.

i)

ii)